# United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit



# APPELLANT'S BRIEF

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE
SECOND CIRCUIT

MARTHA WILLIAMS-Plaintiff
vs.

75-7195 ORIGINAL 75-7195 MAY 14 1975

THE STATE OF NEW YORK and
Judges: A.Schulman, Tavormina, H.Cohon, J.Steinberg, M.Stein,
L.Sacks, Corso, F.Moritt, Kleiger, A.Marino, S.Welcome, H.Bramwell
of Brooklyn CivilCourt
Judges: William B.Groat, Charles Margett, John E.Cone of the
State's Appellate Court of Kings County
Judge: Samuel Rabin, former presiding Justice of the Appellate Div.
Defendants

BRIEF OF PLAINTIFF-APPELLANT

B P/5



MAY 14 1975

Plaintiff Submitting 25 BRIEFO For ARGUMENT AS 0+ 5/14/15

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Statement of Issues
Statement of the Facts1-2-3
Argument
Point 1A State denying its citizen their basic right
The Process of being served all papers filed in Court.
Point 11The State itself is obstructing Justice in the Courts.
Conclusion4

#### APPENDIX

Notice o	f AppealAl
	Appealed FromAl
	From StatureAl

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS for the SECOND CIRCUIT

MARTHA WILLIAMS-Plaintiff

THE STATE OF NEW YORK and

Judges: A.Schulman, Tavormina, H.Cohon, J.Steinberg, M.Stein, L.Sacks, Corso, F.Moritt, Kleiger, A.Marino, S.Welcome, H.Bramwell of the Brooklyn Civil Court

Judges: William B.Groat, Charles Margett, John E.Cone of the State's Appellate Court of Kings County Judge: Samuel Rabin, former presiding Justice of Appellate Div.

#### STATEMENT OF THE ISSUES

1. Defendants filed Motion in Court for an order, unNoticed to
Plaintiff on FALSE ADDRESS. NO SUCH ADDRESS ON RECORD. See EX. 3
An order was made by Judge Nehrer on such a Motion, after Plaintiff
notified Court of matter...See Plaintiff's affidavit--EX.5
See Judgment & Order --EX.6-7

Defendants

- 2. Defendant filed unSworn affidavit in District Court, stating to my being served papers...See EX. 8
- 3. Plaintiff had not received no papers, not even answering papers.
- 4. Wrote plaintiff off as "default" in appearance, prior to complaint in lower court.

#### STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

Courts Engaged in Civil Wrongs

Brooklyn Civil, Landlord & Tenant, Special Term Part 1, and Appellate Term of Brooklyn, Kings County...

So-named Judges in above Courts obstructed Justice to Shield defendants wrongdoers in cases #630-73 S.C.9130-1224 #1007-73 L&T 7482-72...Judges infringed upon and violated my rights in cases. Judges engaged in acts as such: Some EXAMPLES,

1. Judge Tavormina, Stein, Schulman, Marine and Moritt engaged in Acts with Attorneys in Malpractice Suit #630-73 to keep case from going to trial.

#### STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

- 3. Defendants Atty. Allen Taylor, got an Ofder to Show Cause, which he never served on me, but filed affidavit in Court on such service. I was marked "default" on calendar, through Judge Marino.
- 4. Plaintiff sued private Attorney, Israel I.Davidson, in Small Claims
  Court for refund of fees for services not rendered. Judge Sacks
  allowed attorney to withdraw a Motion after he argued it, and Judge
  denied it, allowing him to transfer to next Court for counterclaim, both
  gooding against CPLR Law & Practices and general principles.
- 5. Judge Stein witness a forged order by Atty. Davidson, written by attorney himself on Motion paper, on a prior decision of Motion.

  Judge grants him a Motion on this. (both derige me a copy of this.)

  6. Judge Tavormina violated plaintiff's right to the Inquest on case #1007-73-- damage suit against N.Y.C. Housing Authority, which is still pending, telling attorney, who is also co-defendant in the Malpractice suit #630-73, to move on my Inquest, to which he did and Motion was granted by Judge Corso, whom had knowledge of this.

  Relief sought in damage suit was \$2,000.00 moving and relocation expense on or before 7/28/73...Court Acts detained my move and caused me and my family undue hardships and sufferings.
- 7. Plaintiff had to appeal L&T #7482-72 the second time, after case was reversed in my favor the first time. Judge S.Welcome did not [MSO DEFONTS part into Court. Univer Motest] consider mone of my issues in case. Judge Welcome denies me a fair trial by answering for witnesses and waving off answers off record, and matters important to me. Judge Welcome memoved most papers from file, whereas they can not be found.
- 8. Judge Henry Bramwell, who was appointed to Federal District Ct. attached a decision on Motion (Housing Authority was directed to Show Cause, which they didn't and they were not present before Judge Bramwell.

#### STATEMENT OF THE FACTS

- 8. Motion was NEVER HEARD, vs no reporter's notes of minutes.
  Attorney Walter Cassidy is parading around with his own order to defraud WHOM?
- 9. Appellate Judges William B. Groat, Charles Margett, and John E.Come affirmed the wrongdoing in cases #630-73 S.C.9130-1224 and #1007-73 10. Appellate Judge Samuel Eabin failed to act on complaints of Attorney.
- 11. Etc.... See Complaint EX. 2

SINCE STATE COURTS ABDICATE ITS RESPONSIBILITY...I MUST PETITION U.S. COURT FOR REVIEW OF CASES.

#### ARGUMENT

POINT 1...A State denying its citizen their basic right...

The Process of being served all papers filed in Court.

POINT 11...The State itself is obstructing Justice in the COURTS.

#### CONCLUSION

Plaintiff Respectfully Requests:

- 1. Order & Judgment from Motion, dated Jan.24th,1975, by defendant un-noticed to plaintiff, be VOID.
- 2. Service of all papers to plaintiff.
- 3. Notice for Trial or Judgment absolute for Plaintiff for \$500,000.00 against State of New York and Defendants, in personal injury and violation of her Civil Rights, basic and human rights.
- 4. Rectification of said wrongs. Plantif suffering aftermath of Judes's Acts
  Acts Carnot + Willhot Be swept under the Rug.

To BE Submitted by,

Martha Williams,

Plaintiff-Appellant

#### APPENDIX

POINT 1... A State denying its citizen their basic right...

#### NOTICE TO APPEAL

Plaintiff appeals from invalid order entered and filed in District Ct.
EX. 6-7

#### JUDGMENT APPEALED FROM

Motion seeking a dismissal of complaint, without my being served, and the failure of District Judge, to void the Motion.

#### EXCERPTS FROM STATURE

Allegation of Jurisdiction. (Under the 14th Amendment, no State or local unit of government may deprive an individual of life, liberty, or property, without due process of the Law, nor deny to any person within its Jurisdiction the equal protection of the Laws.)

### AMENDMENT 14 Section 1, clause 1

" all persons born or naturalized in the United States, and subject to the jurisdiction thereof, are citizens of the United States and of the State wherein they reside."

## AMENDMENT 14 Section 1, clause 2

No State shall make or enforce any law which shall abridge the préviledge of citizens of the United States. etc.

Courts have abused my privilege.

Discrimination by administrative or public officials is unconstitutional under the equal protection clause.

Courts cannot discriminate under the 14th Amendment.

Courts ruled on prejudices not on merits of cases.

# SECTION 241--Conspiracy to Violate the Rights of Citizens

Section 241 of Title 18 of the United States Code is directed against any two or more persons who conspire to interfere with a citizen in the exercise of rights or priviledges guaranteed by the Constitution or Laws of the United States. The penalty for violation is a fine of not more than \$5,000 or imprisonment for not more than ten years or both. This section seeks to protect a citizen against a conspiracy of individuals private, public officers, or a combination of both, to deprive one of their constitutional rights.

APPELLANT MUST QUESTION, WILL JUSTICE THROUGH LAW EVER BE ACHIEVED ?

To Be Submitted, in Argument

Martha Williams, Plaintiff-Appellant

STATE OF NEW YORK COUNTY OF NEW YORK

the State of New York

Lillian Co	oper
that She isan employee	oper , being duly sworn, deposes and says
State of New York, the Attorney for Defendants  day of January , 1975s be served the annual	exed upon the following named a
	o mines persons:

Ms. MARTHA WILLIAMS 235 Ralph Avenue Brooklyn, New York 11233

party  Attorney in the within entitled		UDVESTIMANT AS ILA TILL	led States at TWO WORLD
Sworn to before me this  24 day of January 19 75  Rolph M. Mucce	zille	in loon	
Assistant Attorney General of		. /	, w

74 Civ. 1290	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	. MARTHA WILLIAMS,	Plaintiff,	-against-	STATE OF NEW YORK and Judges, et al.,	Defendants		NOTICE OF MOTION		AUDIS J. LEFKOWITZ, Attorney General	Attorney for Defendants	Office And Post Office Address	New York Office	Tel. 488-4178	Personal service of a capy of	within	is admissed this	61		
--------------	-----------------------------------------------------------	--------------------	------------	-----------	---------------------------------------	------------	--	------------------	--	--------------------------------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------	-----------------	---------------	-------------------------------	--------	------------------	----	--	--

STATE OF NEW YOR : SS .: COUNTY OF NEW YORK )

8

Lillian Cooper, being duly sworn, deposes and says that's he is an employee in the office of the Attorney General of the State of New York, attorney for defendants herein. on the 24th day of January , 1975 , s he served the annexed upon the following named person :

> Ms. Martha Williams 235 Ralph Avenue Brooklyn, New York //23 3

party Attorney in the within entitled proceeding by depositing a true and correct copy thereof, properly enclosed in a postpaid wrapper, in a post-office box regularly maintained by the Government of the United States at Two World Trade Center, New York, New York 10047, directed to said Attorney at the address within the State designated by her for that purpose.

Sworn to before me this 24 day of January , 1975.

Assistant Attorney General

of the State of New York

Before:

NEAHER, J.

AT 10:00 A.M.

CIVIL MOTION

74 C 1290 W

WILLIAM MARTHA

-VS-

CITY OF M.Y.

APPEARANCES:

DEFT. MOTION FOR DISMISSAL ETC.

For Pltff: PRO SE

For Deft: A.P. EURKE, ESQ.

Come Called. Dely not print.

Instru-granted of default. 
Constitute submitted to the Cinet.

Note: STATE 15
Party

See: EX. 1 IN
Index ON Appeal

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

M'FILMED

MARTHA WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

-against-

ORDER

THE STATE OF NEW YORK and Judges, A. Schulman, Tavormina, H. Cohon, J. Steinberg, M. Stein, L. Sacks, Corso, F. Moritt, Kliegor, A. Marino, S. Welcome and Henry Bramwell, of Brooklyn Civil Court Judges: William B. Groat, Charles Margett, John E. Cone of the State's Appellate Division of Kings County Judge; Samuel Rabin, former presiding Justice of Appellate Division,

: 74 Civ. 1290 (E.R.N.)

FILEL U. S. DISTRICT COURT E.D. N.Y.

FEB 28 1975

Defendants.

TIME A.M.....

This cause coming on to be heard upon the motion of LOUIS J. LEFKOWITZ, Attorney General of the State of New York, attorney for defendants, to dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, pursuant to Rules 12(b)(6) and 12(b)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and the court having examined the complaint herein, dated January 2, 1975, and the motion and papers submitted in support of said motion, dated January 24, 1975;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERFD that said motion be and the same is hereby granted, and it is further

ORDERED that the complaint be, and is hereby dismissed.

Dated: New York, New York February 1975

Edward R. Miche

CLOSED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN CLERK'S OFFICE U. S. DISTRICI COURT E.D. N.Y.

MARTHA WILLIAMS,

Plaintiff,

TIME A.M.

-against-

THE STATE OF NEW YORK and Judges
A. Schulman, Tavormina, H. Cohon,
J. Steinberg, M. Stein, L. Sacks,
Corso, F. Moritt, Kliegor, A. Marino,
S. Welsome, and Henry Bramwell, of
Brooklyn Civil Court Judges: William B.
Groat, Charles Margett, John E. Cone of
the State's Appellate Division of Kings
County Judge; Samuel Rabin, former presiding
Justice of Appellate Division,

JUDGMENT

74 C 1290

M' FILMED

Defendants.

An order signed by the Honorable

Edward R. Heaher, United States District Judge, having been filed on February 28, 1975, granting the defendants' motion to dismiss the complaint for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted and for lack of subject matter

jurisdiction, it is

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the plaintiff

take nothing of the defendants and that the complaint is dismissed.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York February 28, 1975

vis Vrg